



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
JAN 15 2002  
TC 3100 MAIL ROOM

In re Application of: Kuiken )

Serial No.: 09/558,570 )

Filed: April 26, 2000 )

For: HIGH PROFILE MULTIAXIAL )  
PROSTHETIC FOOT )

Examiner: Bruce E. Snow

Group Art Unit: 3738

**AMENDMENT**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated September 6, 2001, Applicant respectfully requests entry and consideration of the following remarks.

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action dated September 6, 2001, the Examiner rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as indefinite. The Examiner rejected claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Wilkinson. The Examiner further rejected claims 1, 3, 10 and 18-19 as anticipated by Wilson et al. Finally, the Examiner rejected claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious in view of Wilkinson. Please note that the Office Action summary was marked in error as a "Final" action.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claim 10 pursuant to § 112. Support for "means for controlling the rotation of the footplate about the frame" is found on P. 9, lines 18-27 where "[t]he rotation of the footplate 69 may be limited by the coefficient of friction between the